

## Forward-Backward Induction

We have the same 2 steps in a regular proof by induction, the initial case and the inductive step, but the inductive step is composed by two different steps.

- Initial case:  $P(0)$
- Inductive step:
  - Forward step:  $P(k) \rightarrow P(f(k))$  where  $f$  is an increasing function.
  - Backward step:  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k - 1)$

### Quest: More patterns in forward backward induction

Find an application of a proof that requires more than one forward and/or backward step. Example:

- $f_1(k) = 2^k$
- $f_2(k) = 2^k - 1$
- $b(k) = k - 2$

### Example brilliant.org: AM-GM Inequality

Initial Case:  $\frac{a+b}{2} \geq \sqrt{ab}$

$$(a - b)^2 \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$a^2 - 2ab + b^2 \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$a^2 + 2ab + b^2 - 4ab \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$a^2 + 2ab + b^2 \geq 4ab \Leftrightarrow$$

$$a^2 + 2ab + b^2 \geq 4ab \Leftrightarrow$$

$$(a + b)^2 \geq 4ab \Leftrightarrow$$

$$|a + b| \geq 2\sqrt{ab} \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow a + b \geq 2\sqrt{ab}$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{a + b}{2} \geq \sqrt{ab}$$

Since both a and b are positive so is their addition

Inductive Step:

Induction Hypothesis:

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i}{k} \geq \sqrt[k]{\prod_{i=1}^k a_i}$$

Forward pass: We want to show,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2k} a_i}{2k} \geq \sqrt[2k]{\prod_{i=1}^{2k} a_i}$$

We can start by splitting the summation in the left hand side,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{2k} &= \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k}{k} + \frac{a_{k+1} + a_{k+2} + \dots + a_{2k}}{k} \\
 &\geq \frac{\sqrt[k]{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} + \sqrt[k]{a_{k+1} a_{k+2} \dots a_{2k}}}{2} \\
 &\geq \sqrt{\sqrt[k]{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} \sqrt[k]{a_{k+1} a_{k+2} \dots a_{2k}}} \\
 &= \sqrt[2k]{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2k}} \\
 &\square
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof for the forward pass.

Backward pass: We want to show,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i}{k-1} \geq \sqrt[k-1]{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i}$$

We start by using the inductive hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k}{k} &\geq \sqrt[k]{a_1 a_2 \dots a_k} \\
 \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1} + \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1}}{k} &\geq \sqrt[k]{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1} \cdot \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1}} \quad (*1) \\
 \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1} &\geq \sqrt[k]{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1} \cdot \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1}} \\
 \left( \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1} \right)^k &\geq a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1} \cdot \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1} \\
 \left( \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1} \right)^{k-1} &\geq a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1} \\
 \frac{a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{k-1}}{k-1} &\geq \sqrt[k-1]{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{k-1}} \\
 &\square
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the backward pass and the proof of the AM-GM Inequality. (\*1) The used property is: the arithmetic mean of  $k$  numbers in which one of them is the mean of the other  $k-1$  numbers is actually the mean of the same  $k-1$  numbers.

### Example 3 Intro to Uni Math, Sheet 1: Every integer has a unique expansion in base $b$

Show that every integer  $x \geq 1$  and for a base  $b \geq 2$  has a unique expansion with the following form,

$$x = a_0 b^0 + a_1 b^1 + a_2 b^2 + \dots$$

We start by proving the existence of an expansion for every integer  $x \geq 1$  by forward-backward induction.

**Existence** The initial case consists of proving the existence of a representation for  $x = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 x &= 1b^0 \\
 &\square
 \end{aligned}$$

The inductive step is divided into two different proofs, one for the forward pass and another for the backward one.

Forward pass:  $P(k) \rightarrow P(b^k)$

$$b^k = b^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 0b^i$$

Backward pass:  $P(k) \rightarrow P(k - 1)$

The coefficients of the predecessor  $k - 1$  are noted as  $c_i$ , where  $j$  is the index of the first non-zero coefficient.

$$c_i = \begin{cases} b - 1 & , i < j \\ c_i - 1 & , i = j \\ c_i & , i > j \end{cases}$$

This concludes the proof of the backward pass and the proof of existence of an expansion for every integer in base  $b$ .

**Uniqueness** This part of the proposition is proved by contradiction where we show that for the minimum integer that has more than one expansion in base  $b$ , that we can build smaller integers that also have more than one expansion.

Lets assume that this integer is  $w$  and that the two different representations are the following,

$$\begin{aligned} w &= (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_n) \\ &= (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_i, \dots, c_n) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $i$  be the index of the first different entry such that  $a_i \neq c_i$ . We can also assume that if  $i \neq 0$  then every other coefficient must be zero because if it weren't then we could build a smaller integer that also had two different representations. If we can make this assumption, then we can try to describe the coefficients of the predecessor  $w - 1$ . Lets assume that  $c_i - a_i > 0$  and that  $a_i \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} w - 1 &= (b - 1, b - 1, \dots, a_i - 1, \dots, a_n) \\ &= (b - 1, b - 1, \dots, c_i - 1, \dots, c_n) \end{aligned}$$

We can set the coefficients before  $i$  to zero so that we have a smaller integer with more than one expansion in base  $b$ . In the case that  $a_i = 0$ , the  $i$ th position would have  $b - 1$  in the first representation and since  $c_i - 1$  is upper bounded by  $b - 2$ , we can still build a smaller integer that has two different representations. In the case of  $i = 0$  then the first coefficient of the predecessor  $w - 1$  is going to have at least two different expansions since the first representation would have either  $a_i - 1$  and the second  $c_i - 1$  (and they are different) or the first would have  $b - 1$  and the second would be upper bounded by  $b - 2$ .

[Really confusing this last part of the proof! Ask for help!]